arXiv:1601.08028v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 29 Jan 2016

Temporal Fokker-Planck Equations

Jean Pierre Boon* and James F. Lutsko
Centre for Nonlinear Phenomena and Complex Systems, CP 231,
Université Libre de Bruxelles, 1050 - Bruxelles, Belgium†
(Dated: February 1, 2016)

Abstract
The temporal Fokker-Plank equation [*J. Stat. Phys.*, **3/4**, 527 (2003)] or propagation-dispersion equation was derived to describe diffusive processes with temporal dispersion rather than spatial dispersion as in classical diffusion. We present two generalizations of the temporal Fokker-Plank equation for the first passage distribution function $f_j(r,t)$ of a particle moving on a substrate with time delays τ_j. Both generalizations follow from the first visit master equation. In the first case, the time delays depend on the local concentration, that is the time delay probability P_j is a functional of the particle distribution function and we show that when the functional dependence is of the power law type, $P_j \propto f_j^{\nu-1}$, the generalized Fokker-Plank equation exhibits a structure similar to that of the nonlinear spatial diffusion equation where the roles of space and time are reversed. In the second case, we consider the situation where the time delays are distributed according to a power law, $P_j \propto \tau_j^{-1-\alpha}$ (with $0 < \alpha < 2$), in which case we obtain a fractional propagation-dispersion equation which is the temporal analog of the fractional spatial diffusion equation (with space and time interchanged).

PACS: 05.10.-a, 05.10.-Gj, 05.40.-a, 05.50.+q
KEY WORDS: Transport phenomena; temporal diffusion; nonlinear transport; fractional kinetics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Typical spatial diffusion processes are formulated in the continuum limit by the convection-diffusion equation whose solution is a Gaussian centered at the most-likely position of a particle (a walker) moving at a constant velocity. Reciprocally there are situations in which, instead of asking where the walker would be after a given time (long with respect to the duration of an elementary time step), one addresses the the question as to how long it takes to reach a given point, at some large distance from the starting position (large compared to the unit length covered during the elementary time step). For a stochastic process, one then asks what is the distribution of times taken to reach that point and the problem can be described by a propagation-dispersion equation giving a Gaussian time distribution centered at the most likely time of arrival at the target point [1]. This characterizes classical time dispersion when the distribution originates from an Einstein type master equation [2] for the probability $f(r,t)$ of finding the particle at position r at time t as briefly described in Sec.II. A practical example is given in [3] which describes an experiment where small beads are dropped into a container filled with larger beads. The small beads, driven by gravity, diffuse through the array of larger beads and their collisions with the larger ones induce time delays in the downward motion. Measurement of the arrival times of the small beads at the end point of the container gives a Gaussian distribution, (see Fig.9 in [3]) i.e. the signature of a temporal-dispersion process.

In many problems in physics, chemistry and biology, processes are time delayed or accelerated because they exhibit a functional dependence on the local concentration or on the time delays, in which cases one expects deviations from the classical Gaussian distribution. Here we start with the generalized master equation where the waiting time probability is a functional of the distribution function $f(r,t)$ and in Sec.III we derive a generalized Fokker-Plank equation (GFPE) for the first passage distribution function $f(r,t)$. Using a scaling argument (Sec.IV) we obtain its solution which is shown to exhibit a narrowing of the temporal distribution, i.e. temporal localization. Alternatively in Sec.V we introduce a power law *ansatz* for the time delay probability and we obtain a description of the evolution of the time distribution in the form of a fractional temporal Fokker-Plank equation (FFPE). So it follows that the macroscopic evolution of the system is given by two complementary descriptions, the nonlinear temporal Fokker-Plank equation or the fractional temporal Fokker-Plank equation, depending on the basic mechanisms of the time delay processes.

*Electronic address: jpboon@ulb.ac.be; URL: `http://poseidon.ulb.ac.be`
†Electronic address: jlutsko@ulb.ac.be; URL: `http://www.lutsko.com`

II. GENERALIZED MASTER EQUATION

Consider a walker moving on a one-dimensional lattice whose sites are labeled by integers $l = 0, 1, 2, ..., n$. The distance between neighboring sites is denoted by δr. The clock is set at $t_0 = 0$ when the particle is at site $l = 0$ and its trajectory will intercept successively sites $l = 1, 2, 3, ...$ for the first time at times $t_1, t_2, t_3 ...$. The t_j's are integer multiples of the time step δt. While sites 0, 1, 2, 3, ... are equally spaced, the time differences between first visits, $t_{j+1} - t_j$, are (in general) not equally distributed. Let j_r be the random variable which corresponds to the number of steps required for the particle to reach position $r = l_r \delta r$ for the first time at time $t_r = j_r \delta t$. We define $f(r,t)$ as the probability of finding the particle at position r for the first time at time t; $f(r,t)$ obeys the finite difference equation

$$f(r,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j \, f(r - \delta r, t - \tau_j) \, , \tag{1}$$

where $\tau_j = j \, \delta t$ and p_j is the time delay probability, i.e. the probability that it takes j time steps for the particle to move from site $r - \delta r$ to site r. Equation (1) is the *first visit equation* [1] which is the analog of Einstein's master equation for the classical random walk wherefrom the usual diffusion equation follows [2]. In the hydrodynamic limit the first visit equation (1) yields the *propagation-dispersion equation* [1]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} f(r,t) \, + \, \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(r,t) \, = \, \frac{1}{2} \gamma \, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f(r,t) \, , \tag{2}$$

where the value of c^{-1} is given by the first moment $J_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \, j \, p_j$, and that of γ by the second cumulant $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \, j^2 \, p_j - J_1^2 \, = \, J_2 \, - \, J_1^2$; c is the propagation speed of the particle, and γ the *time dispersion coefficient*. Equation (2) is the analog of the advection-diffusion equation, but describes a dispersion process in *time* (instead of diffusion in space) with a drift expressed by a propagation speed with non-zero bounded values. The solution to Eq.(2) is a Gaussian in time as illustrated in Fig.1.

Consider now that the waiting times depend on the particle distribution function (in the example of the marathon (Fig.1) this corresponds to the local concentration of runners) that is the time delay probability p_j in Eq.(1) is replaced by a functional of $f(r,t)$

$$P_j \equiv p_j F_j^{(\nu)}[f] \tag{3}$$

with the normalization $\sum_j \, P_j \, = \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j F_j^{(\nu)} \, [f] \, = \, 1$, and where the index ν is such that $F^{(\nu=1)}[f] \, = \, 1$. The functional plays the role of a weighting factor to the amplitude of the waiting time probabilities. $F_j^{(\nu)} \, [f]$ is a functional which means that, in principle, it depends on $f \, (r,t) \, , f \, (r, t - \delta t) \, , f \, (r, t - 2\delta t)$, ... For example, if we consider an algebraic function of the form $F_j^{(\nu)} \, [f] \sim f^{\nu - 1} \, (r, t - j\delta t)$, then the normalization demands

$$F_j^{(\nu)} \, [f] = \frac{f^{\nu - 1} \, (r, t - j\delta t)}{\sum_{l=1}^{N} p_l f^{\nu - 1} \, (r, t - l\delta t)} \, . \tag{4}$$

So for clarity, we should write explicitly

$$F_j^{(\nu)} \, [f] = F_j \, [f \, (r, t - j\delta t) \, ; f \, (r, t - \delta t) \, , f \, (r, t - 2\delta t) \, , ..., f \, (r, t - N\delta t)] \, , \tag{5}$$

which allows for an explicit dependence on the index j. A slightly more restricted form which does not include the j dependence, i.e. $F_j \, [f_j ; f_1, ..., f_N] = F \, [f_j ; f_1, ..., f_N]$ will be considered below. With (3), Eq.(1) becomes the *generalised master equation*

$$f(r + \delta r, t) - f(r,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j F_j^{(\nu)}[f_j] \, \left(f(r, t - j\delta t) - f(r,t) \right) \, . \tag{6}$$

III. NONLINEAR FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION

We consider the expansion of $F_j^{(\nu)} \, [f]$ (for simplicity in the notation we shall omit the upper index (ν) which will be reintroduced when necessary):

$$F_j \, [f] = \left\{ F_j \, (x; y_1 ..., y_N) \right\}_f - \delta t \left\{ j \frac{\partial F_j \, (x; y_1 ..., y_N)}{\partial x} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} l \frac{\partial F_j \, (x; y_1 ..., y_N)}{\partial y_l} \right\}_f \left(\frac{\partial f \, (r,t)}{\partial t} \right) + ... \, , \tag{7}$$

where the notation $\{...\}_f$ means that all the variables $x, y_1, ...$ are to be set equal to the $f(r,t)$'s as on the r.h.s. of Eq.(5). Using this expansion, the generalized master equation (6) becomes

$$\delta r \frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2}(\delta r)^2 \frac{\partial^2 f(r,t)}{\partial r^2} + ... = -\delta t \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \{F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)\}_f \frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial t}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}(\delta t)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \{F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)\}_f \frac{\partial^2 f(r,t)}{\partial t^2}$$
$$+ (\delta t)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ j \frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial x} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} l \frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial y_l} \right\}_f \left(\frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial t} \right)^2 + ... \quad (8)$$

By multiscale expansion and using the normalization condition (see details in Appendix A) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial r} + J_1[f] \frac{\delta t}{\delta r} \frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial t}$$
$$= \left(J_2[f] - (J_1[f])^2 \right) \frac{(\delta t)^2}{2\,\delta r} \frac{\partial^2 f(r,t)}{\partial t^2} + \Lambda[f] \frac{(\delta t)^2}{\delta r} \left(\frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial t} \right)^2 , \quad (9)$$

where the J_i's are the generalized moments

$$J_1[f] = \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \{F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)\}_f , \quad (10)$$

$$J_2[f] = \sum_{j=1}^{N} j^2 p_j \{F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)\}_f , \quad (11)$$

$$\Lambda[f] = \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ j \frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial x} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} l \frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial y_l} \right\}_f$$
$$- \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \{F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)\}_f \times$$
$$\times \sum_{l=1}^{N} l p_l \left\{ \frac{\partial F_l(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial x} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial F_l(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial y_k} \right\}_f . \quad (12)$$

Equation (9) gives the general form of the *generalized temporal Fokker-Planck equation* (GFPE).

We consider the case where $F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)$ does not depend explicitly on j, i.e. $F_j(x; y_1..., y_N) = F(x; y_1..., y_N)$, in which case the normalization conditions (A6) imply

$$0 = \left\{ \frac{\partial F(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial x} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{\partial F(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial y_l} \right\}_f , \quad (13)$$

so that the second term on the r.h.s. of $\Lambda[f]$ in (12) vanishes, and we have

$$J_1[f] = J_1 = \sum_j j\, p_j \quad ; \quad J_2[f] = J_2 = \sum_j j^2 p_j ,$$
$$\Lambda[f] = \left\{ J_2 \frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial x} + J_1 \sum_{l=1}^{N} l \frac{\partial F(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial y_l} \right\}_f$$
$$= \left(J_2 - J_1^2 \right) \left\{ \frac{\partial F(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial x} \right\}_f . \quad (14)$$

The generalized Fokker-Planck equation (9) then becomes

$$\frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma \left[\frac{\partial^2 f(r,t)}{\partial t^2} + 2 \left\{ \frac{\partial F^{(\nu)}(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial x} \right\}_f \left(\frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right] , \quad (15)$$

where

$$c^{-1} = \sum_j p_j \, j \, \frac{\delta t}{\delta r} \, , \qquad \gamma = \left[\sum_j p_j \, j^2 - \left(\sum_j p_j \, j \right)^2 \right] \frac{(\delta t)^2}{\delta r} \tag{16}$$

are the reciprocal propagation speed and the temporal dispersion coefficient respectively. In Eq. (15) we have reintroduced the index ν for later discussion. Since by definition $F^{(\nu=1)}[f] = 1$, it is clear that for $\nu = 1$, Eq.(15) (as well as (9)) reduces to the usual propagation-dispersion equation (2).

IV. SCALING AND POWER LAW DISTRIBUTION

We now ask for a scaling solution of the GFPE

$$f(r,t) = r^{-\beta} \phi \left(\frac{t - r/c}{r^\beta} \right) , \tag{17}$$

which by substitution in (9) with $\zeta = \frac{t-r/c}{r^\beta}$ gives

$$-\phi(\zeta) - \zeta \phi'(\zeta) = \beta^{-1} r^{1-2\beta} \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(J_2[f] - (J_1[f])^2 \right) \phi''(\zeta) + 2 \, r^{-\beta} \Lambda[f] \, \phi'^2(\zeta) \right] . \tag{18}$$

The scaling equation can be satisfied either with $\Lambda[f] = 0$ or with $\Lambda[f] \sim 1/f(r,t)$ and, in both cases $\beta = 1/2$. The first case is realized for $\nu = 1$, i.e. $F^{(\nu)} = 1$; then $\left(J_2[f] - (J_1[f])^2 \right) (\delta t)^2/\delta r = \gamma$ and $J_1[f] \, \delta t/\delta r = c^{-1}$, and Eq.(9) reduces to the classical temporal Fokker-Planck equation with Gaussian solution [1]. The second case can be satisfied if we require that $F^{(\nu)}[f]$ be a normalized power law independent of j. Indeed when $F^{(\nu)}[f]$ does not dependent on j, $\Lambda[f]$ (14) reduces to $\left(J_2 - (J_1)^2 \right) \left\{ \frac{\partial F^{(\nu)}(x; y_1 ..., y_N)}{\partial x} \right\}_f$, and from the normalization condition, we have

$$F^{(\nu)}(x; y_1 ..., y_N) = \frac{K(x)}{p_1 K(y_1) + ... + p_N K(y_N)} \, , \tag{19}$$

which gives

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial F^{(\nu)}(x; y_1 ..., y_N)}{\partial x} \right\}_f = \frac{1}{p_1 + ... + p_N} \frac{1}{K(f)} \frac{\partial K(f)}{\partial f} = \frac{\partial \ln K(f)}{\partial f} \, . \tag{20}$$

The demand $\Lambda[f] \sim 1/f(r,t)$ implies that, for some constant $\nu \geq 1$

$$\frac{\partial \ln K(f)}{\partial f} = \frac{\nu - 1}{f} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad K(f) = f^{\nu - 1} \, , \tag{21}$$

so that

$$F^{(\nu)}(x; y_1 ..., y_N) = \frac{x^{\nu-1}}{p_1 y_1^{\nu-1} + ... + p_N y_N^{\nu-1}} \, . \tag{22}$$

Notice that the power law form follows from the scaling. With this result and with $\beta = 1/2$, Eq.(18) becomes

$$-\phi(\zeta) - \zeta \phi'(\zeta) = \gamma \left[\phi''(\zeta) + 2(\nu - 1) \, \phi^{-1}(\zeta) \, \phi'^2(\zeta) \right] . \tag{23}$$

We also note that if one uses (22) as an *ansatz* in the GFPE (Eq. (15)) one obtains

$$\frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma \left[\frac{\partial^2 f(r,t)}{\partial t^2} + 2 \, \frac{\nu - 1}{f(r,t)} \left(\frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right] , \tag{24}$$

which with the scaling relation (17) gives exactly (23).

Equation (23) can be simplified by introducing a change of variables and a transformation

$$x = \zeta/\sqrt{\gamma} = \frac{t - r/c}{\sqrt{\gamma\, r}} \quad ; \quad \phi\left(\zeta\right) = w^{\frac{1}{2\nu-1}}\left(x\right) , \tag{25}$$

giving

$$\frac{d^2 w}{dx^2} + x\frac{dw}{dx} + \left(2\nu - 1\right) w = 0 , \tag{26}$$

which equation can be matched to the general confluent equation (see Appendix B) and has the general solution

$$w\left(x\right) = A \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) M\left(1-\nu, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2}\right) + B\, x\, \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) M\left(\frac{3}{2}-\nu, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2}\right) , \tag{27}$$

where A and B are constants and $M(a,b,x)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function. Since the solution must be even in x, B must be zero for symmetrical reasons. So the scaling distribution reads

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(r,t\right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma\, r}}\, \phi\left(\frac{t - r/c}{\sqrt{\gamma\, r}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma\, r}} \left[A\, M\left(1-\nu, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{(t-r/c)^2}{2\,\gamma\, r}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{(t-r/c)^2}{2\,\gamma\, r}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2\nu-1}} . \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

For $\nu = 1$, $M(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2}) = 1$; consequently in order to retrieve the normalized Gaussian solution, A must be $\sqrt{2/\pi}$ and the final solution is given by

$$f\left(r,t\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma\, r}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} M_{(1-\nu)} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-r/c)^2}{2\,\gamma\, r}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2\nu-1}} , \tag{29}$$

where $M_{(1-\nu)} = M(1-\nu, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2})$ with $x = \frac{t-r/c}{\sqrt{\gamma\, r}}$. Figure 1 illustrates this result for different values of the exponent.

For $\nu = 3/2$, we have $M(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp(\frac{x^2}{4})\, E_1^{(0)}(x)$ (where $E_1^{(0)}(x)$ is the parabolic cylinder functions) giving

$$f_{\nu=3/2}\left(r,t\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma\, r}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\, E_1^{(0)}\left(\frac{t-r/c}{\sqrt{\gamma\, r}}\right) \exp - \left(\frac{t-r/c}{2\sqrt{\gamma\, r}}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} . \tag{30}$$

For $\nu > 3/2$, we have $M(-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2})$, but the confluent hypergeometric function with $m > 1$ exhibits alternating positive and negative regions separated by a singularity and consequently so for the distribution function; therefore values of $\nu > 3/2$ must be physically rejected and the meaningful range of the exponent is $1 \leq \nu \leq 3/2$, as illustrated in Fig.1. So when the nonlinear exponent ν increases we observe a narrowing of the distribution function that is a localisation in temporal dispersion.

The asymptotic behaviour of the distribution follows from the observation that for $|x|$ large (see AS 13.5.1 in [5])

$$M\left(a,b,x\right) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)}\, e^x\, x^{a-b}\, \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-1}\right) \quad ; \quad x \in \Re, > 0 , \tag{31}$$

which when used in (29) gives

$$f\left(x >> 1\right) \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma\, r}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\nu\right)} \left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right)^{\frac{1-2\nu}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\nu-1}}$$

or, with $t >> r/c$,

$$f\left(r, t >> \sqrt{\gamma\, r}\right) \simeq \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1-\nu\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\nu-1}} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{t} , \tag{32}$$

that is, for long times, $f\left(r,t\right) \sim t^{-1}$, which is in reasonable agreement with the observation of time delays in earthquake distributions [6] $P(t) \sim t^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma \simeq 0.9$.



FIG. 1: $M(1-\nu,\frac{1}{2},\frac{x^2}{2})\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)$ (Eq.(28)) as a function of x for various values of the exponent $1 \leq \nu \leq 3/2$.

V. FRACTIONAL FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION

The generalization of temporal diffusion to nonlinear jump probabilities discussed so far was developed based on a multiscale expansion that is only valid when the first and second moments of the jump probability exist. We now consider a second generalization that applies when the second moment does not exist. Unlike the nonlinear case, we will only consider processes for which the jump probabilities are statistically independent. When the second moment exists, this then leads via the central limit theorem to the classical Gaussian time distribution (see Fig.1) since for the lattice model described in section II the probability to reach lattice postition l in time is simply the sum of the independent random waiting times $\widehat{t} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \widehat{t}_l$. Explicitly, for large l, that is $r >> \delta r$, the probability for the stochastic variable $\widehat{t}$ to lie in the interval $[T, T+dT]$ is given by a Gaussian

$$f(T;r) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(T-\overline{t}\,(r)\right)^2}{2\sigma}\right) , \tag{33}$$

where the most likely time is

$$\overline{t} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \langle \widehat{t}_l \rangle = n \int_0^\infty d\tau\ \tau p\ (\tau) \tag{34}$$

where $p(\tau)$ is the time delay probability, and the width of the distribution is

$$\sigma(r) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left(\langle \widehat{t}_l^2 \rangle - \langle \widehat{t}_l \rangle^2 \right) = n \int_0^\infty d\tau \; \tau^2 p(\tau) - \frac{1}{n} \overline{t}^2. \tag{35}$$

Consider now the case of distributions which do not possess second moments. In particular, we will consider a power law distribution

$$p(t) = \frac{\alpha t_0^\alpha}{t^{\alpha+1}} \Theta(t - t_0) \quad ; \quad 0 < \alpha < 2 \; ; \quad t \to \infty \tag{36}$$

and let $\widehat{t} = \frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \widehat{t}_l$. The probability for $\hat{t} = T_\alpha$ is

$$f_\alpha(T_\alpha, N) \; = \int_0^\infty \delta(T_\alpha - \tfrac{1}{N^{1/\alpha}} \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{N} t_i) p(t_1) ... p(t_N) dt_1 ... dt_N \tag{37}$$

so that

$$\tilde{f}_\alpha(\omega, N) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega T} f_\alpha(T_\alpha) = \left(\tilde{p}\left(\frac{\omega}{N^{1/\alpha}} \right) \right)^N \tag{38}$$

where a simple calculation gives

$$\tilde{p}(\omega) = \alpha (-i\omega t_0)^\alpha \Gamma(-\alpha, -i\omega t_0) \tag{39}$$

which has the expansion for small ωt_0

$$\tilde{p}(\omega) = \alpha (-i\omega t_0)^\alpha \Gamma(-\alpha) - \alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i\omega t_0)^k}{k!(k-\alpha)} \tag{40}$$

Thus, taking the inverse Fourier transform gives Expansion in $1/N$ leads to the result

$$\begin{aligned} f_\alpha(T_\alpha, N) \; &= \; \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\tilde{p}\left(\frac{\omega}{N^{1/\alpha}} \right) \right)^N e^{-i\omega T_\alpha} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \\ &= \; \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} exp\left(-i\omega T_\alpha + N \ln \tilde{p}\left(\frac{\omega}{N^{1/\alpha}} \right) \right) \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \\ &= \; \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} exp\left(-i\omega T_\alpha + \alpha \left(-i\omega t_0\right)^\alpha \Gamma(-\alpha) + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} (-i\omega t_0) N^{1-1/\alpha} + O(N^{1-2/\alpha}) \right) \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

so that the higher order terms are negligable for large N provided $\alpha < 2$. The probability density that the first arrival time to reach position N is $T = N^{1/\alpha} T_\alpha$ is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(T, N) \; &= \; \int_0^\infty f_\alpha(T_\alpha, N) \delta(T - N^{1/\alpha} T_\alpha) dT_\alpha \\ &= \; N^{-1/\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} exp\left(-i\omega N^{1-1/\alpha} \left(\frac{T}{N} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} t_0 \right) + \alpha \left(-i\omega t_0\right)^\alpha \Gamma(-\alpha) + O(N^{1-2/\alpha}) \right) \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Rescaling the integration variable gives

$$f(T, N) \; = \; \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} exp\left(i\omega \left(T + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} t_0 N \right) + \alpha \left(i\omega t_0\right)^\alpha \Gamma(-\alpha) N \right) \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \tag{43}$$

which is the Levy-stable distribution with stability parameter α. Defining the spatial variable $r \equiv N\delta r$, it is easy to see that this distribution satisfies the *fractional diffusion temporal equation*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} f(T; r/\delta r) = \left[\frac{\alpha t_0}{(1-\alpha)\delta r} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} + \frac{\alpha t_0^\alpha}{\delta r} \Gamma(-\alpha) \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial T^\alpha} \right] f_\alpha(T; r/\delta r) \; . \tag{44}$$

Notice that, with time and space variables interchanged, the FFPE exhibits a structure analogous to the fractional Fokker-Plank equation for anomalous spatial diffusion that follows from the continuous time random walk model with a power law *ansatz* for the waiting times [4].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

When considering diffusion processes from the viewpoint of a temporal formulation - dual to the classical spatial description - a Fokker-Plank equation (FPE) description is found to be equally valid for temporal diffusion. In the latter case the FPE exhibits a solution for the temporal distribution function showing Gaussian behavior [1] similar to the Gaussian solution of the classical diffusion equation, but with time and space reversed. However when, as in most real systems, the diffusive medium is inhomogeneous, this classical description is modified because the dynamics, and consequently the corresponding distribution function, may depend on the local concentration variations in time and and space and on the distribution of time delays in the diffusive process. We considered both types of dependences. (i) Starting from the classical random walk model, we generalized Einstein's master equation by including a functional concentration dependence in the jump probability wherefrom a temporal nonlinear Fokker-Plank equation is obtained and solved to yield the temporal distribution function evolving from Gaussian shape to finite support when the nonlinear exponent increases. (ii) On the other hand using a power law waiting time probability distribution we obtain a fractional temporal Fokker-Plank equation similar to the usual fractional Fokker-Plank equation [4] with space and time interchanged. These results should provide insight for the elucidation of the mechanisms of temporal diffusion processes.

Appendix A: Expansion of master equation

We first consider the expansion of $F_j^{(\nu)}[f]$ (for simplicity in the notation we shall omit the upper index (ν) which will be reintroduced when necessary):

$$F_j[f] = \{F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)\}_f - \delta t \left\{ j\frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial x} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} l\frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial y_l} \right\}_f \left(\frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial t}\right) + ..., \tag{A1}$$

where the notation $\{...\}_f$ means that all the variables $x, y_1, ...$ are to be set equal to the $f(r,t)$'s in the r.h.s. of Eq.(5). Using this expansion, the generalized master equation (6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta r\frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2}(\delta r)^2\frac{\partial^2 f(r,t)}{\partial r^2} + ... = -\delta t\sum_{j=1}^{N} jp_j\{F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)\}_f\frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial t} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}(\delta t)^2\sum_{j=1}^{N} jp_j\{F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)\}_f\frac{\partial^2 f(r,t)}{\partial t^2} \\
&+ (\delta t)^2\sum_{j=1}^{N} jp_j\left\{ j\frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial x} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} l\frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial y_l}\right\}_f\left(\frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial t}\right)^2 + ...
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

We now perform a multiscale expansion with

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \to \epsilon\frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} + \epsilon^2\frac{\partial}{\partial r_2} \quad ; \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \to \epsilon\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + \epsilon^2\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, \tag{A3}$$

and $f = f^{(0)} + \epsilon f^{(1)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$, where $f^{(0)}$ is the distribution function in the absence of dispersion. To first order, we obtain

$$\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^1): \qquad \delta r\frac{\partial f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial r_1} = -\delta t\sum_{j=1}^{N} jp_j\{F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)\}_{f^{(0)}}\frac{\partial f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial t_1}, \tag{A4}$$

and to second order

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2): \quad & \delta r \frac{\partial f^{(1)}(r,t)}{\partial r_1} + \delta r \frac{\partial f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial r_2} + \frac{1}{2}(\delta r)^2 \frac{\partial^2 f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial r_1^2} \\
&= -\delta t \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ \frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial x} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial y_l} \right\}_{f^{(0)}} f^{(1)}(r,t) \frac{\partial f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial t_1} \\
&- \delta t \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ F_j(x; y_1..., y_N) \right\}_f \left(\frac{\partial f^{(1)}(r,t)}{\partial t_1} + \frac{\partial f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial t_2} \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}(\delta t)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} j^2 p_j \left\{ F_j(x; y_1..., y_N) \right\}_f \frac{\partial^2 f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial t_1^2} \\
&+ (\delta t)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ j \frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial x} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} l \frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial y_l} \right\}_{f^{(0)}} \left(\frac{\partial f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial t_1} \right)^2 . \qquad \text{(A5)}
\end{aligned}$$

From the normalization condition (with (A1) where $\frac{\partial f(r,t)}{\partial t}$ is unconstrained) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j \left\{ F_j(x; y_1..., y_N) \right\}_{f^{(0)}} , \\
0 &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j \left\{ j \frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial x} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} l \frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial y_l} \right\}_{f^{(0)}} . \qquad \text{(A6)}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy, for instance, to check that these relations are indeed verified in the case of the power law (4). Differentiating (A4) with respect to r_1 and reinserting (A4) in the result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta r)^2 \frac{\partial^2 f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial r_1^2} &= -\delta r \delta t \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ F_j(x; y_1..., y_N) \right\}_{f^{(0)}} \frac{\partial^2 f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial t_1 \partial r_1} \\
&= (\delta t)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ F_j(x; y_1..., y_N) \right\}_{f^{(0)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{N} l p_l F_l(x; y_1..., y_N) \right\}_{f^{(0)}} \frac{\partial f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial t_1} \\
&= (\delta t)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ F_j(x; y_1..., y_N) \right\}_{f^{(0)}} \times \\
&\quad \times \sum_{l=1}^{N} l p_l \left\{ \frac{\partial F_l(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial x} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial F_l(x; y_1..., y_N)}{\partial y_k} \right\}_{f^{(0)}} \left(\frac{\partial f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial t_1} \right)^2 \\
&+ (\delta t)^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ F_j(x; y_1..., y_N) \right\}_{f^{(0)}} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial t_1^2} . \qquad \text{(A7)}
\end{aligned}$$

Using this result in (A5) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\delta r \frac{\partial f^{(1)}(r,t)}{\partial r_1} + \delta r \frac{\partial f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial r_2} \\
&= -\delta t \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ F_j\left(x; y_1 ..., y_N\right) \right\}_{f^{(0)}} \left(\frac{\partial f^{(1)}(r,t)}{\partial t_1} + \frac{\partial f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial t_2} \right) \\
&- \delta t \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ \frac{\partial F_j\left(x; y_1 ..., y_N\right)}{\partial x} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{\partial F_j\left(x; y_1 ..., y_N\right)}{\partial y_l} \right\}_{f^{(0)}} f^{(1)}(r,t) \frac{\partial f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial t_1} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} (\delta t)^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} j^2 p_j \left\{ F_j\left(x; y_1 ..., y_N\right) \right\}_{f^{(0)}} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ F_j\left(x; y_1 ..., y_N\right) \right\}_{f^{(0)}} \right)^2 \right) \frac{\partial^2 f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial t_1^2} \\
&+ (\delta t)^2 \left(\begin{array}{c} \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ j \frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1 ..., y_N)}{\partial x} + \sum_{l=1}^{N} l \frac{\partial F_j(x; y_1 ..., y_N)}{\partial y_l} \right\}_{f^{(0)}} \\ - \sum_{j=1}^{N} j p_j \left\{ F_j\left(x; y_1 ..., y_N\right) \right\}_{f^{(0)}} \sum_{l=1}^{N} l p_l \left\{ \frac{\partial F_l(x; y_1 ..., y_N)}{\partial x} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial F_l(x; y_1 ..., y_N)}{\partial y_k} \right\}_{f^{(0)}} \end{array} \right) \times \\
&\qquad \times \left(\frac{\partial f^{(0)}(r,t)}{\partial t_1} \right)^2 ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{A8}
$$

After recombining first and second order terms, resummation yields Eq.(9).

Appendix B: General confluent equation

$$\frac{d^2w}{dx^2} + x\frac{dw}{dx} + (2\nu - 1)\, w = 0\,, \tag{B1}$$

From AS 13.1.35 in [5], the general confluent equation is

$$\begin{aligned} &= w'' + \left(\frac{2a}{y} + 2f'(y) + \frac{bh'(y)}{h(y)} - h'(y) - \frac{h''(y)}{h'(y)}\right) w' \\ &+ \left(\frac{bh'(y)}{h(y)} - h'(y) - \frac{h''(y)}{h'(y)}\right)\left(\frac{a}{y} + f'(y)\right) w \\ &+ \left(\frac{a(a-1)}{y^2} + \frac{2af'(y)}{y} + f''(y) + f'^2(y) - \frac{ch'^2(y)}{h(y)}\right) w\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

This equation and Eq.(B1) match provided

$$a = 0 \quad ; \quad b = \frac{1}{2} \quad ; \quad c = 1 - \nu \quad ; \quad f(y) = h(y) = \frac{y^2}{2}\,,$$

and the general solution then is

$$w\,(y) = A \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2}\right) M\left(1-\nu, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{y^2}{2}\right) + B \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2}\right) U\left(1-\nu, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{y^2}{2}\right)\,, \tag{B3}$$

or, using AS 13.1.3 in [5], with $y \equiv x$,

$$w\,(x) = A\,\left[M\left(1-\nu, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2}\right) + x\,\frac{B}{A}\,M\left(\frac{3}{2}-\nu, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2}\right)\right] \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\,. \tag{B4}$$

Note that $w\,(0) = A$ and $w'\,(0) = B$.

[1] J.P. Boon, P. Grosfils, and J.F. Lutsko, *Europhys. Lett*, **63**, 186 (2003); *J. Stat. Phys.*, **3/4**, 527 (2003).
[2] A. Einstein, *Ann. d. Phys.*, **17**, 549 (1905).
[3] I. Ippolito *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. E.*, **3**, 227 (2000).
[4] R. Metzler, J. Jeon, A.G. Cherstvy and E. Barkai, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **16**, 24128-24164 (2014).
[5] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York, 1965).
[6] N. Suzuki and S. Abe *Euro.Phys.Lett.*, **110**, 59001 (2015).